

1 Callie A. Bjurstrom, State Bar No. 137816  
2 Michelle A. Herrera, State Bar. No. 209842  
3 LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS LLP  
4 600 West Broadway, Suite 2600  
5 San Diego, California 92101-3372  
Telephone No.: 619.236.1414  
Fax No.: 619.232.8311  
cbjurstrom@luce.com  
mherrera@luce.com

6 | Attorneys for Plaintiff INSWEB CORPORATION

7 John Christopher Jaczko, State Bar No. 149317  
Allison H. Goddard, State Bar No. 211098  
8 Jaczko Goddard LLP  
4401 Eastgate Mall  
9 San Diego, California 92121  
Telephone No.: 858.550.6150  
10 Fax No.: 858.225.3500  
11 cjaczko@jaczkogoddard.com  
agoddard@jaczkogoddard.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16 | INSWEB CORPORATION,

17 Plaintiff,

18 | v.

19 AUTOBYTEL, INC., AUTOBYTEL I  
20 CORP., f/k/a AVV, INC., and DOMINION  
ENTERPRISES,

21 | Defendants.

Case No. 08-CV-0447 WQH (LSP)

**JOINT MOTION TO PERMIT  
PLAINTIFF TO FILE A SECOND  
AMENDED COMPLAINT**

23 Plaintiff INSWEB CORPORATION and Defendants AUTOBYTEL, INC., AUTOBYTEL I  
24 CORP., f/k/a AVV, INC. and DOMINION ENTERPRISES, by and through their respective counsel  
25 hereby jointly move the Court for an order permitting Plaintiff to file a Second Amended Complaint.  
26 This Joint Motion is based on the following facts:

27 | //

28 | //

1           In the Joint Motion for Enlargement of Time to Respond to Complaint filed by the parties on  
 2 May 27, 2008 (Doc. #21), Plaintiff indicated that it was contemplating filing a Second Amended  
 3 Complaint, and that it would determine whether it would do so or would proceed with the First  
 4 Amended Complaint presently on file by June 16, 2008. Defendants agreed to provide their written  
 5 consent to the filing of a Second Amended Complaint, in exchange for a short enlargement of time to  
 6 July 7, 2008 to respond to the Complaint on file as of June 16, 2008.

7           On June 2, 2008, the Court issued a Minute Order granting the parties' Joint Motion for  
 8 Enlargement of Time to Respond to Complaint, granting Defendant an extension of time until July 7,  
 9 2008 to file a response to the operative Complaint on file as of June 16, 2008. (Doc. #22.) The  
 10 Minute Order is silent as to the filing by Plaintiff of a Second Amended Complaint.

11          Plaintiff attempted to file a Second Amended Complaint on June 16, 2008, but the Court  
 12 issued a Discrepancy Order rejecting the same on the grounds that an amended pleading beyond a first  
 13 amended pleading requires the opposing party's written consent or leave of court. The parties  
 14 believed that Defendants' written consent to the filing of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint was  
 15 embodied in their Joint Motion filed on May 27, 2008 (Doc. #22.) In light of the Discrepancy Order,  
 16 the parties understand that their prior Joint Motion was not clear in that regard. Plaintiff and  
 17 Defendants therefore submit this Joint Motion to Permit Plaintiff to File a Second Amended  
 18 Complaint.

19          Good cause exists for the relief sought through this Joint Motion because Defendants  
 20 previously stipulated to the filing of a Second Amended Complaint by Plaintiff. No prejudice will  
 21 result because Defendants will still have up to and including July 7, 2008 to file a response to the  
 22 Second Amended Complaint. Plaintiff and Defendants therefore respectfully request that the Court  
 23 grant this Joint Motion.

24  
 25 DATED: June 19, 2008

LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS LLP

26

27

28

By: /s/ Michelle A. Herrera

Michelle A. Herrera

Attorneys for Plaintiff INSWEB CORPORATION

1 DATED: June 19, 2008

JACZKO GODDARD LLP

2

3

By: /s/ Allison H. Goddard

4 Allison H. Goddard

5 Attorneys for Defendants AUTOBYTEL, INC.,  
AUTOBYTEL I CORP., f/k/a AVV, INC. and  
DOMINION ENTERPRISES

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28